

This site does not use third party cookies. [Cookie use policy.](#)

The representation has been saved as a draft, you must remember to submit this before the end of consultation, click My Drafts next to the logout link.

You can print this page out to keep a copy for your records and you will also receive an email confirming your representation once it is submitted..

Representation ID: 8120

Agent: Unknown

Representor: Arlesey Town Council [414]

Representation Type: OBJECT

Section: 5. The Spatial Strategy, 5.4 Spatial Strategy Approach

Do you consider the DPD is Legally compliant? Yes

Do you consider the DPD is Sound? No

Does it comply with the duty to Co-operate? Yes

Failed soundness tests: Justified
Effective
Consistent with national policy

Representation: The Spatial Strategy approach requires greater clarity and consideration of the imbalance between the various areas for growth identified in the Local Plan. The Sustainability Appraisal identifies issues and potential key negative effects for which the Spatial Strategy offers no mitigation. The various alternative scenarios considered all include the proposed allocation East of Arlesey strongly suggesting that the strategy has not been considered against the reasonable alternatives. Without a clear commitment to essential infrastructure improvements the Spatial Strategy is considered to be ineffective and undeliverable within the plan period, contrary to national policy - See attached Written Statement.

Proposed changes:: Review and replace the Spatial Strategy based on an appropriate balance of growth areas having regard to and including clear commitments to essential infrastructure improvements.

Yes / No:: Yes

Explanation:: Regulation 18 consultation

This site does not use third party cookies. [Cookie use policy.](#)

The representation has been saved as a draft, you must remember to submit this before the end of consultation, click My Drafts next to the logout link.

You can print this page out to keep a copy for your records and you will also receive an email confirming your representation once it is submitted..

Representation ID: 8122

Agent: Unknown

Representor: Arlesey Town Council [414]

Representation Type: OBJECT

Section: [Policy SP1: Growth Strategy](#)

Do you consider the DPD is Legally compliant? Yes

Do you consider the DPD is Sound? No

Does it comply with the duty to Co-operate? Yes

Failed soundness tests:

Justified
Effective
Consistent with national policy

Representation: See attached Written Statement.

Proposed changes:: Deletion of East of Arlesey (Town Extension) - 2000 homes.

Yes / No:: Yes

Explanation:: Regulation 18 consultation

Attached Files: None

[Submit](#)

[Edit](#)

[Delete](#)

[Back to document](#)



Having trouble using the system? Visit our [help page](#) or [contact us](#) directly.

This site does not use third party cookies. [Cookie use policy.](#)

The representation has been saved as a draft, you must remember to submit this before the end of consultation, click My Drafts next to the logout link.

You can print this page out to keep a copy for your records and you will also receive an email confirming your representation once it is submitted..

Representation ID: 7647

Agent: Unknown

Representor: Arlesey Town Council [414]

Representation Type: OBJECT

Section: [Policy SA3: East of Arlesey](#)

Do you consider the DPD is Legally compliant? Yes

Do you consider the DPD is Sound? No

Does it comply with the duty to Co-operate? Yes

Failed soundness tests:

Justified
Effective
Consistent with national policy

Representation: See attached Written Statement.

Proposed changes:: Deletion of Policy SA3 and the allocation of land east of Arlesey

Yes / No:: Yes

Explanation:: Regulation 18 consultation

Attached Files: None

[Submit](#)

[Edit](#)

[Delete](#)

[Back to document](#)



Having trouble using the system? Visit our [help page](#) or [contact us](#) directly.

This site does not use third party cookies. [Cookie use policy](#).

The representation has been saved as a draft, you must remember to submit this before the end of consultation, click My Drafts next to the logout link.

You can print this page out to keep a copy for your records and you will also receive an email confirming your representation once it is submitted..

Representation ID:	7648
Agent:	Unknown
Representor:	Arlesey Town Council [414]
Representation Type:	OBJECT
Section:	Policy HA1: Small and Medium Allocations
Do you consider the DPD is Legally compliant?	Yes
Do you consider the DPD is Sound?	No
Does it comply with the duty to Co-operate?	Yes
Failed soundness tests:	Justified Effective
Representation:	This representation relates to sites HAS01 and HAS02. Arlesey TC accepts the principle of development of these two sites which will adjoin the MA8 allocation (Arlesey Cross). As such they will represent a logical extension to Arlesey without resulting in unacceptable impacts on the character of the area subject to detailed design, including access and provision of the Eastern Relief Road. The cumulative effects of recent approvals CB/16/01420/FULL (40 dwellings) and CB/16/01608/OUT (56 dwellings) on sites west of High Street are such that the proposed allocations should not be permitted prior to implementation of the Eastern Relief Road - CB/17/00492/FULL.
Proposed changes::	Sites HAS01 and HAS02 require Additional Policy Requirements: Detail design including access will be required to complement the MA8 Arlesey Cross proposals. Development will not be permitted prior to implementation of approved Eastern Relief Road, with direct access to the Eastern Relief Road or the Arlesey Cross development. Access via High Street will not be permitted. Lewis Lane and Rose Cottages are not suitable as vehicular access to these sites. Acknowledged capacity constraints in High Street indicate that the principal access should be to the Eastern Relief Road.

Yes / No::	No
Explanation::	These sites were not specifically included in the Regulation 18 consultation version of the Local Plan.
Attached Files:	None

[Submit](#)

[Edit](#)

[Delete](#)

[Back to document](#)



Having trouble using the system? Visit our [help page](#) or [contact us](#) directly.

Powered by **opusconsult**

A great place to live and work.

Privacy

© Central Bedfordshire Council

Handcrafted by Spacecraft

This site does not use third party cookies. [Cookie use policy](#).

The representation has been saved as a draft, you must remember to submit this before the end of consultation, click My Drafts next to the logout link.

You can print this page out to keep a copy for your records and you will also receive an email confirming your representation once it is submitted..

Representation ID:	8134
Agent:	Unknown
Representor:	Arlesey Town Council [414]
Representation Type:	OBJECT
Section:	12. Employment & Economy, 12.1 Overview
Do you consider the DPD is Legally compliant?	Yes
Do you consider the DPD is Sound?	No
Does it comply with the duty to Co-operate?	Yes
Failed soundness tests:	Justified
Representation:	The statement at paragraph 12.1.6 is inconsistent with paragraph 6.5.3 and is not supported by the evidence in the CBC Local Area Transport Plan and Transport Modelling, both of which highlight the limited network capacity, particularly on A507.
Proposed changes::	Amend paragraph 12.1.6 to accord with paragraph 6.5.3 and the evidence base, to acknowledge the limited network capacity, particularly on A507 and acknowledge additional investment required to secure highway improvements.
Yes / No::	Yes
Explanation::	Regulation 18 consultation
Attached Files:	None

[Submit](#)

[Edit](#)

[Delete](#)

[Back to document](#)

This site does not use third party cookies. [Cookie use policy.](#)

The representation has been saved as a draft, you must remember to submit this before the end of consultation, click My Drafts next to the logout link.

You can print this page out to keep a copy for your records and you will also receive an email confirming your representation once it is submitted..

Representation ID:	8135
Agent:	Unknown
Representer:	Arlesey Town Council [414]
Representation Type:	OBJECT
Section:	14. Transport, 14.1 Overview of Transport Network
Do you consider the DPD is Legally compliant?	Yes
Do you consider the DPD is Sound?	No
Does it comply with the duty to Co-operate?	Yes
Failed soundness tests:	Justified
Representation:	The statement at paragraph 14.1.1 is inconsistent with paragraph 6.5.3 and is not supported by the evidence in the CBC Local Area Transport Plan and Transport Modelling, both of which highlight the limited network capacity, particularly on A507.
Proposed changes::	Amend paragraph 14.1.1 to accord with paragraph 6.5.3 and the evidence base, to acknowledge the limited network capacity, particularly on A507 and acknowledge additional investment required to secure highway improvements.
Yes / No::	Yes
Explanation::	Regulation 18 consultation
Attached Files:	None

[Submit](#)

[Edit](#)

[Delete](#)

[Back to document](#)